CRITICAL LENS RESEARCH SITES:

Directions: For each of the three critical lenses, start with the OWL site (good clear & basic info).

If there is time at the end of the period, explore the secondary sites and fill-in "new" information as you find it.

• FEMINISM:

- OWL Feminism site:
- Secondary: Bedford/St. Martin on Feminism

PSYCHOANALYSIS:

- OWL Psychoanalysis site;
- Secondary: Bedford/St. Martin on Psychoanalysis

NEW HISTORICISM:

- OWL New Historicism site;
- Secondary: Bedford/St. Martin on NH
- o New World Encyclopedia on NH

o Marxism:

- OWL Marxism site;
- Secondary: Bedford/St. Martin on Marxism

Overview: Literary Theory and Schools of Criticism

Summary:

theory and schools of criticism and how they are used in the academy. This resource will help you begin the process of understanding literary Contributors: Allen Brizee, J. Case Tompkins, Libby Chernouski, Elizabeth Boyle

Last Edited: 2015-08-17 03:07:05

Introduction

school of theory. The different lenses also allow critics to focus on ideas act as different lenses critics use to view and talk about art, literature, and even culture. These different lenses allow critics to consider works of art based on certain assumptions within that A very basic way of thinking about literary theory is that these particular aspects of a work they consider important.

characters from colonial powers (Britain, France, and even America) on their economic situation. If a critic is working with post-colonial after reading through and working with the resources in this area s/he might focus on how the characters in a story interact based For example, if a critic is working with certain Marxist theories, treat characters from, say, Africa or the Caribbean. Hopefully, theories, s/he might consider the same story but look at how of the OWL, literary theory will become a little easier to understand and use.

Disclaimer

distinguishing these separate areas of theory. Indeed, many critics define differently or greatly expand the (very) general statements given here. Our explanations are meant only as starting places for our own investigation into literary theory. We encourage you to use tools from two or more schools in their work. Some would explanations included here are by no means the only ways of Please note that the schools of literary criticism and their

use the list of scholars and works provided for each school to further your understanding of these theories.

We also recommend the following secondary sources for study of literary theory:

- The Critical Tradition: Classical Texts and Contemporary Trends, 1998, edited by David H. Richter
- Critical Theory Today: A User-Friendly Guide, 1999, by Lois
- Beginning Theory, 2002, by Peter Barry

writing about writing since ancient times, contemporary schools of we have placed some schools closer together because they are so Though the timeline below roughly follows a chronological order, Although philosophers, critics, educators and authors have been following sections overview these movements in critical theory. influence how scholars look at and write about literature. The literary theory have cohered from these discussions and now

Timeline (most of these overlap)

- Moral Criticism, Dramatic Construction (~360 BC-present)
 - Formalism, New Criticism, Neo-Aristotelian Criticism (1930s-present)
- Psychoanalytic Criticism, Jungian Criticism(1930s-present)
 - Marxist Criticism (1930s-present)
- Reader-Response Criticism (1960s-present)
 - Structuralism/Semiotics (1920s-present)
- Post-Structuralism/Deconstruction (1966-present)
 - New Historicism/Cultural Studies (1980s-present) Post-Colonial Criticism (1990s-present)
 - Feminist Criticism (1960s-present)
- Gender/Queer Studies (1970s-present)
 - Critical Race Theory (1970s-present)

Marxist Criticism (1930spresent

Summary:

theory and schools of criticism and how they are used in the academy. This resource will help you begin the process of understanding literary

Contributors: Allen Brizee, J. Case Tompkins, Libby Chernouski, Elizabeth Boyle

Last Edited: 2010-04-21 08:25:17

Whom Does it Benefit?

itself with class differences, economic and otherwise, as well as the attempts to reveal the ways in which our socioeconomic system is implications and complications of the capitalist system: "Marxism philosopher Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel), this school concerns Based on the theories of Karl Marx (and so influenced by the ultimate source of our experience" (Tyson 277).

the effort, the policy, the road, etc.] benefit? The elite? The middle Theorists working in the Marxist tradition, therefore, are interested class? And Marxists critics are also interested in how the lower or working classes are oppressed - in everyday life and in literature. in answering the overarching question, whom does it [the work,

The Material Dialectic

philosophy, religion, and art that is built upon that economic base" The Marxist school follows a process of thinking called the material historical change are the material realities of the economic base of society, rather than the ideological superstructure of politics, law, dialectic. This belief system maintains that "...what drives (Richter 1088),

contradictions build into the social system that ultimately lead to social revolution and the development of a new society upon the Marx asserts that "...stable societies develop sites of resistance: old" (1088). This cycle of contradiction, tension, and revolution

middle, and lower (working) classes and this conflict will be reflected in literature and other forms of expression - art, music, must continue: there will always be conflict between the upper, movies, etc.

The Revolution

and revolution by oppressed peoples and form the groundwork for overthrown, the intellectuals will compose an equal society where working class (others think peasants will lead the uprising) under the guidance of intellectuals. Once the elite and middle class are The continuing conflict between the classes will lead to upheaval everyone owns everything (socialism - not to be confused with abolished. According to Marx, the revolution will be led by the a new order of society and economics where capitalism is Soviet or Maoist Communism). Though a staggering number of different nuances exist within this school of literary theory, Marxist critics generally work in areas covered by the following questions.

Typical questions:

- Whom does it benefit if the work or effort is accepted/successful/believed, etc.?
- What is the social class of the author?
- Which class does the work claim to represent?
 - What values does it reinforce?
- What values does it subvert?
- What conflict can be seen between the values the work champions and those it portrays?
 - How do characters from different classes interact or What social classes do the characters represent?
 - conflict?

Here is a list of scholars we encourage you to explore to further your understanding of this theory:

- Leon Trotsky "Literature and Revolution," 1923
 - Georg Lukács "The Ideology of Modernism," 1956
 - Walter Benjamin "The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction," 1936
 - Theodor W. Adorno
- Louis Althusser Reading Capital, 1965
- Terry Eagleton Marxism and Literary Criticism, Criticism and Ideology, 1976
 - Frederic Jameson Marxism and Form, The Political Unconscious, 1971
 - Jürgen Habermas The Philosophical Discourse of Modernity, 1990

Marxist Literary Criticism: Brief Guide

These are approaches that concern themselves not with what the critic, writes, the task of Marxist literary criticism "is to show the making (inscribed in its very letter) about which it is necessarily text says but what it hides. As Terry Eagleton, a leading Marxist philosopher Paul Ricouer terms a "hermeneutics of suspicion." text as it cannot know itself, to manifest those conditions of its Along with psychoanalytical, feminist, and cultural criticism, Marxist literary criticism exemplifies what the French

as the shared beliefs and values held in an unquestioning manner aquarium breathed by the fish, ideology is virtually invisible. Its who are marginalized in the culture are most aware of the ways in which an ideology supports the dominant class in the society. by a culture - exerts a powerful influence upon a culture. Those Those who enjoy the fruits of belonging to a dominant group of invisibility gives it greater power. Ideology - defined in general By its very nature, ideology is silent. Like the water in the the society barely generally are filled with what Marx

called "false consciousness." Since it is not in their interest to notice the ways in which an economic structure marginalizes others, they tend to buy into an ideology that supports that structure.

Recurrent terms in Marxist literary criticism:

- economic base. Superstructure, according to Marx and Base vs. Superstructure: Base in Marxism refers to Engels, emerges from this base and consists of law, politics, philosophy, religion, art.
- unquestioning manner by a culture. It governs what that Marxists, ideology is determined by economics. A rough approximation: "tell me how much money you have and ideology: the shared beliefs and values held in an culture deems to be normative and valuable. For "Il tell you how you think."
- glossary in case studies in contemporary criticism book). look, what they mean, and therefore what reality is for ideologies, in other words, that shapes the way things the majority of people within a given culture" (See Hegemony: coined by the Italian theorist Antonio assumptions, meanings, and values -- the web of Gramsci, this "refers to the pervasive system of
- Benet Ramsay, Diana, JFK Jr., the murders at Columbine media's obsession with tragedy (e.g.the deaths of Jon economically thrives on these events through ratings people are turned into commodities useful in market Reification: often used to describe the way in which grieving people. The media expresses sympathy but High School in Colorado) make commodities out of exchange. For example, some would argue that the

What do Marxist literary critics do with texts?

- They explore ways in which the text reveals ideological oppression of a dominant economic class over subordinate classes. In order to do this a Marxist might ask the following questions:
- Does the text reflect or resist a dominant ideology?
 Does it do both?
- Does the main character in a narrative affirm or resist bourgeosie values?
- Whose story gets told in the text? Are lower economic groups ignored or devalued?
- Are values that support the dominant economic group given privilege? This can happen tacitly, in the way in which values are taken to be selfevident.
- They look at the conditions of production for the work of art. For example, they ask
- What were the economic conditions for publication of a work?
- Who was the audience? What does the text suggest about the values of this audience?

What other approaches resemble Marxist literary criticism?

- Marxist literary criticism often shares with feminist criticism a desire to challenge the power structures in contemporary society. For feminist, the issue is a marginalized gender; for Marxists, the issue is not gender but economic power, leading to political power.
- Marxist literary criticism can also be viewed as a type of cultural criticism, in that it seeks to analyze a discourse (of power) that makes up one of the discourses that determine a text's historical meaning.

A READING OF "LITTLE RED RIDING HOOD" USING YOUR CRITICAL LENS

In addition to your research on—and responses to questions about—your Critical Lens, you will do a close reading of "Little Red Riding Hood," interpreting the story via your critical lens.

Annotate: As you read, annotate the story from the point of view of your critical lens. Don't be afraid to be a little "over the top" in using your lens to inform your annotations – I want to see you applying the research that you've done:



• Write Summative "Critical Exegesis": After reading the story, you'll write a thorough interpretation of the story's overall theme or central meaning, again, from the perspective of your critical lens.

LITTLE RED RIDING HOOD

By Jakob and Wilhelm Grimm

Once upon a time there was a sweet little maiden. Whoever laid eyes upon her could not help but love her. But it was her grandmother who loved her most. She could never give the child enough. One time she made her a present, a small, red velvet cap, and since it was so becoming and the maiden insisted on always wearing it, she was called Little Red Cap.

One day her mother said to her, "Come, Little Red Cap, take this piece of cake and bottle of wine and bring them to your grandmother. She's sick and weak, and this will strengthen her. Get an early start, before it becomes hot, and when you're out in the woods, be nice and good and don't stray from the path, otherwise you'll fall and break the glass, and your grandmother will get nothing. And when you enter her room, don't forget to say good morning, and don't go peeping in all the corners."

"I'll do just as you say," Little Red Cap promised her mother.

Well, the grandmother lived out in the forest, half an hour from the village, and as soon as Little Red Cap entered the forest, she encountered the wolf. However, Little Red Cap did not know what a wicked sort of an animal he was and was not afraid of him.

"Good day, Little Red Cap," he said.

"Thank you kindly, wolf."

"Where are you going so early, Little Red Cap?"

"To Grandmother's."

"What are you carrying under your apron?"

"Cake and wine. My grandmother's sick and weak, and yesterday we baked this so it will help her get well."

"Where does your grandmother live, Little Red Cap?"

"Another quarter of an hour from here in the forest. Her house is under the three big oak trees. You can tell it by the hazel bushes," said Little Red Cap.

The wolf thought to himself, This tender young thing is a juicy morsel. She'll taste even better than the old woman. You've got to be real crafty if you want to catch them both. Then he walked next to Little Red Cap, and after a while he said, "Little Red Cap, just look at the beautiful flowers that are growing all around you! Why don't you look around? I believe you haven't even noticed how lovely the birds are

Annotations:

singing. You march along as if you were going straight to school, and yet it's so delightful out here in the woods!"

Little Red Cap looked around and saw how the rays of the sun were dancing through the trees back and forth and how the woods were full of beautiful flowers. So she thought to herself, if I bring Grandmother a bunch of fresh flowers, she'd certainly like that. It's still early, and I'll arrive on time. So she ran off the path and plunged into the woods to look for flowers. And each time she plucked one, she thought she saw another even prettier flower and ran after it, going deeper and deeper into the forest. But the wolf went straight to the grandmother's house and knocked at the door.

"Who's out there?"

"Little Red Cap. I've brought you some cake and wine. Open up."

"Just lift the latch," the grandmother called. "I'm too weak and can't get up."

The wolf lifted the latch, and the door sprang open. Then he went straight to the grandmother's bed without saying a word and gobbled her up. Next he put on her clothes and her nightcap, lay down in her bed, and drew the curtains.

Meanwhile, Little Red Cap had been running around and looking for flowers, and only when she had as many as she could carry did she remember her grandmother and continue on the way to her house again. She was puzzled when she found the door open, and as she entered the room, it seemed so strange inside that she thought, Oh, my God, how frightened I feel today, and usually I like to be at Grandmother's. She called out, "Good morning!"

But she received no answer. Next she went to the bed and drew back the curtains.

There lay her grandmother with her cap pulled down over her face giving her a strange appearance. "Oh, Grandmother, what big ears you have!"

"The better to hear you with."

"Oh, Grandmother, what big hands you have!"

"The better to grab you with."

Grandmother, what a terribly big mouth you have!"

"The better to eat you with!"

No sooner did the wolf say that than he jumped out of bed and gobbled up poor Little Red Cap. After the wolf had satisfied his desires, he lay down in bed again, fell asleep, and began to snore very loudly.

The huntsman happened to be passing by the house and thought to himself: "The way the old woman's snoring, you'd better see if anything's wrong." He went into the room, and when he came to the bed, he saw the wolf lying in it.

"So I've found you at last, you old sinner," said the huntsman. "I've been looking for you for a long time."

He took aim with his gun, and then it occurred to him that the wolf could have eaten the grandmother and that she could still be saved. So he did not shoot but took some scissors and started cutting open the sleeping wolf's belly. After he made a couple of cuts, he saw the little red cap shining forth, and after he made a few more cuts, the girl jumped out and exclaimed, "Oh, how frightened I was! It was so dark in the wolf's body."

Soon the grandmother came out. She was alive but could hardly breathe. Little Red Cap quickly fetched some large stones, and they filled the wolf's body with them. When he awoke and tried to run away, the stones were too heavy so he fell down at once and died. All three were quite delighted. The huntsman skinned the fur from the wolf and went home with it. The grandmother ate the cake and drank the wine that Little Red Cap had brought, and soon she regained her health. Meanwhile, Little Red Cap thought to herself, Never again will you stray from the path by yourself and go into the forest when your mother has forbidden it.

Summative "Critical Exegesis": A fat paragraph in	ternreting the sto	ry's overall them	e or central me	aning again fro	m the never estima of
Summative "Critical Exegesis": A fat paragraph, in <i>your critical lens.</i> Don't be shy in making specific references.	erence to LRRH a	nd to the research	h you did on yo	eaning, again, <i>jroi</i> our critical lens:	n the perspective of

			κ.

INDEX OF TEMPLATES

INTRODUCING WHAT "THEY SAY"

>	A number of sociologists have recently suggested that X's work has several				
	fundamental problems.				
>	It has become common today to dismiss X's contribution to the field of sociology.				
>	In their recent work, Y and Z have offered harsh critiques of Dr. X for				
INTRO	DDUCING "STANDARD VIEWS"				
>	Americans tend to believe that				
>	Conventional wisdom has it that				
>	Common sense seems to dictate that				
>	The standard way of thinking about Topic X has it that				
>	It is often said that				
>	My whole life I have heard it said that				
>	You would think that				
>	Many people assumed that				
Makir	MAKING WHAT "THEY SAY" SOMETHING YOU SAY				
>	I've always believed that				
>	When I was a child, I used to think that				
>	Although I should know better by now, I cannot help thinking that				
>	At the same time that I believe, I also believe				
Intro	DUCING SOMETHING IMPLIED OR ASSUMED				
>	Although none of them has ever said so directly, my teachers have often given me				
	the impression that				
>	One implication of X's treatment of is that				
>	Although X does not say so directly, she apparently assumes that				

INTRO	DUCING AN ONGOING DEBATE		<u></u>
>	In discussions of X, one controversial issue has been On the one		
	hand, argues O	n th	ne other hand,
	contends Others even maint	ain	. My own view is
>	When it comes to the topic of	., m	ost of us will readily agree that
	Where this agreement usual	ly er	nds, however, is on the question of
	Whereas some are convinced	d th	at, others maintain that
>	In conclusion, then, as I suggested earlier,		
	both ways. Their assertion that	is	s contradicted by their claim that
Сарт	uring Authorial Action		
>	X acknowledges that		X celebrates the fact that
>	X agrees that		X emphasizes that
>	X argues that	>	X insists that
>	X believes that	>	X observes that
>	X denies/does not deny that	>	X questions that
>	X claims that	>	X refuses the claim that
×	X complains that	>	X reminds us that
×	X concedes that	>	X suggests that
>	X demonstrates that	×	X urges us to
>	X deplores the tendency to		
INTR	ODUCING QUOTATIONS		
>	X states, ""		
×	As the prominent philosopher X puts it, "		, j
>	According to X, ""		

	X herself writes, ""
×	In his book,, X maintains that ""
>	In X's view, ""
>	X agrees/disagrees when she writes, ""
>	X complicates matters further when he writes, ""
EXPL	AINING QUOTATIONS
>	Basically, X is saying
>	In other words, X believes
>	In making this comment, X argues that
>	X is insisting that
>	X's point is that
>	The essence of X's argument is that
DISAG	FREEING, WITH REASONS
>	I think X is mistaken because she overlooks
>	X's claim that rests upon the questionable assumption that
>	I disagree with X's view that because, as recent research has shown,
>	X contradicts himself. On the one hand, he argues But on the other
	hand, he also says
>	By focusing on, X overlooks the deeper problem of
>	X claims, but we don't need him to tell us that. Anyone familiar with
	has long known that
Agree	EING—WITH A DIFFERENCE
>	I agree that because my experience confirms it.
>	X surely is right about because, as she may not be aware, recent studies
	have shown that

X's theory of is extremely useful because it sheds insight on the
difficult problem of
> I agree that, a point that needs emphasizing since so many people
believe
> Those unfamiliar with this school of thought may be interested to know that it
basically boils down to
➤ If group X is right that, as I think they are, then we need to reassess
the popular assumption that
Agreeing and Disagreeing Simultaneously
➤ Although I agree with X up to a point, I cannot accept his overall conclusion that
> Although I disagree with much that X says, I fully endorse his final conclusion that
> Though I concede that, I still insist that
➤ Whereas X provides ample evidence that, Y and Z's research on
and convinces me that instead.
X is right that, but she seems on more dubious ground when she
claims that
➤ While X is probably wrong when she claims that, she is right that
➤ I'm of two minds about X's claim that On the one hand, I agree that
On the other hand, I'm not sure if
> My feelings on the issue are mixed. I do support X's position that, but
I find Y's argument about and Z's research on to be
equally persuasive.
SIGNALING WHO IS SAYING WHAT
➤ X argues
According to both X and Y,

_	Foliticians, A argues, should
>	Most athletes will tell you that
>	My own view, however, is that
>	I agree, as X may not realize, that
>	But are real and, arguably, the most significant factor in
>	But X is wrong that
>	However, it is simply not true that
>	Indeed, it is highly likely that
>	But the view that does not fit all the facts.
>	X is right/wrong that
>	X is both right and wrong that
>	Yet a sober analysis of the matter reveals
>	Nevertheless, new research shows
>	Anyone familiar with should see that
Емве	DDING VOICE MARKERS
>	X overlooks what I consider an important point about
*	My own view is that what X insists is a is in fact a
>	I wholeheartedly endorse what X calls
>	These conclusions, which X discusses in, add weight to the argument
	that
Entei	RTAINING OBJECTIONS
>	Yet some readers may challenge the view that After all, many believe
	Indeed, my own argument that seems to ignore
	and
>	Of course, many will probably disagree with this assertion that

Naming Your Naysayers
Here many feminists would probably object that
But social Darwinists would certainly take issue with the argument that
➤ Biologists, of course, may want to dispute my claim that
Nevertheless, both followers and critics of Malcolm X will probably dispute my claim
that
> Although not all Christians think alike, some of them will probably dispute my
claim that
> Non-native English speakers are so diverse in their views that it's hard to generalize
about them, but some are likely to object on the grounds that
MAKING CONCESSIONS WHILE STILL STANDING YOUR GROUND
> Although I grant that, I still maintain that
Proponents of X are right to argue that But they exaggerate when they
claim that
> While it is true that, it does not necessarily follow that
> On the one hand, I agree with X that But on the other hand, I still
insist that
INDICATING WHO CARES
used to think But recently [or within the past few
decades] suggests that
> What this new research does, then, is correct the mistaken impression, held by
many earlier researchers, that
> These findings challenge the work of earlier researchers, who tended to assume that
 Recent studies like these shed new light on, which previous studies
had not addressed.

>	Researchers have long assumed that For instance, one eminent
	scholar of cell biology,, assumed in, her seminal work on
	cell structures and functions, that fat cells As herself put
	it, ", argued that fat
	cells "" (200-). Ultimately, when it came to the nature of fat, the basic
	assumption was that
	But a new body of research shows that fat cells are far more complex and
	that
>	If sports enthusiasts stopped to think about it, many of them might simply assume
	that the most successful athletes However, new research shows
	·
>	These findings challenge dieters' common assumptions that
>	At first glance, teenagers appear to But on closer inspection
	·
ESTAB	LISHING WHY YOUR CLAIMS MATTER
>	X matters/is important because
>	Although X may seem trivial, it is in fact crucial in terms of today's concern over
>	Ultimately, what is at stake here is
>	These findings have important consequences for the broader domain of
	·
>	My discussion of X is in fact addressing the larger matter of
>	These conclusions/This discovery will have significant applications in
	as well as in
>	Although X may seem of concern to only a small group of, it should
	in fact concern anyone who cares about

From "They Say/I Say": The Moves That Matter in Academic Writing, by Gerald Graff and Cathy Birkenstein. W.W. Norton & Company: New York, 2006.

COMMONLY USED TRANSITIONS

Cause and Effect

accordingly as a result consequently it follows, then since so then

therefore thus

Conclusion

hence

as a result consequently hence

in conclusion, then

in short

in sum, then it follows, then

so the upshot of all this

is that

therefore thus to sum up to summarize

Comparison

along the same lines in the same way

likewise similarly

Contrast

although
but
by contrast
conversely
despite the fact that
even though

however in contrast nevertheless nonetheless on the contrary on the other hand regardless whereas while yet

Addition

also and besides furthermore in addition in fact indeed moreover so too

Concession

admittedly although it is true that granted

I concede that of course naturally

to be sure

Example

after all

as an illustration

consider

for example for instance

specifically

to take a case in

point

Elaboration

actually

by extension

in short

that is

in other words

to put it another way

to put it bluntly to put it succinctly

ultimately

From "They Say/I Say": The Moves That Matter in Academic Writing, by Gerald Graff and Cathy Birkenstein. W.W. Norton & Company: New York, 2006.